

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,287	CHANG, DEUK-HWAN
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) Michael Kondoudis (Reg. No. 42,758).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

21 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



KATHY MATECKI
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Kondoudis (applicant's representative) on 5/24/06 to get approval to amend claim 21 for readability and to either amend or cancel claim 38. During the telephone conversation, applicant's representative approved the amendment to claim 21. On 5/26/06 applicant's representative called back and approved cancelation of claim 38. See attached examiner's amendment.